Comments on "Do Financial Investors Destabilize the Oil Price?"

James D. Hamilton Dept. of Economics, UCSD $p_t = \log of \text{ spot price}$ $f_t = \log of \text{ futures price}$

Suppose:

no storage costs, interest costs, or convenience yield

If $p_t < f_t$:

- buy oil at p_t
- store the oil
- guaranteed selling price f_t
- risk-free profit

equilibrium requires:

$$p_t = f_t$$



Source: Interim Report on Crude Oil, Interagency Task Force on Commodity Markets, July 2008

Price Per Barrel

Under risk neutrality and non-zero inventories:

$$E_t(p_{t+1}) = f_t$$

 p_t follows random walk

Regression of 3-month futures on 1-month imposing intercept = 0 and slope = 1 has $R^2 = 99.7\%$

Above framework implies: If $p_t > f_t$, inventories should be zero

Convenience yield: benefit to refiners of holding inventories in addition to possible capital gains

- $c_t = \log of convenience yield less storage$ and interest costs $<math>I_t = inventories$
- If $I_t \downarrow$ then $c_t \uparrow$

profit-maximization by refiners requires:

$$p_t = f_t + c_t$$

Social planner: what should we want to see in order to maximize total welfare? Competitive outcome: how would this plan

be implemented with ideally functioning competitive markets?

Example 1: Temporary tight supply conditions

Social planner:

reduce consumption today and in future reduce inventories today

Competitive implementation $(p_t = f_t + c_t)$:

$$p_t \uparrow$$

 $f_t \uparrow$ (but less than p_t)
 $I_t \downarrow$
 $C_t \uparrow$

In response to this kind of shock,

$$f_t \uparrow \\ s_t = f_t - p_t \downarrow$$

Example 2: Pure speculation: investors bid up f_t for no reason

Social planner:

wants no changes in any real variables Competitive response:

If no change in p_t , profit from $I_t \uparrow$

$$c_t \downarrow$$

 $f_t \uparrow$ by more than p_t

In response to this kind of shock,

$$f_t \uparrow$$

 $s_t = f_t - p_t \uparrow$
this shock is destabilizing

Example 3: Expected future tight supplies

Social planner:

reduce consumption today and in future increase inventories today

Competitive implementation $(p_t = f_t + c_t)$:

```
p_t \uparrow

f_t \uparrow (but more than p_t)

I_t \uparrow

C_t \downarrow
```

In response to this kind of shock,

$$f_t$$
↑
 $s_t = f_t - p_t$ ↑
this shock is stabilizing





Shaded region: July 2007 - June 2008



Weekly U.S. ending stocks of crude oil (excluding SPR), thousands of barrels